# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

SAINT LAWRENCE COMMUNICATIONS	§	Case No. 2:15-cv-00351
LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	<b>Jury Trial Requested</b>
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC  Defendant.	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	

SAINT LAWRENCE COMMUNICATIONS LLC'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Saint Lawrence Communications LLC ("St. Lawrence" or "Plaintiff") hereby submits this Complaint against Defendant Motorola Mobility LLC ("Motorola" or "Defendant") and states as follows:

### THE PARTIES

- 1. St. Lawrence is a Texas limited liability company, having a principal place of business at 2400 Dallas Parkway, Suite 200, Plano, Texas 75093.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant Motorola Mobility LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 600 North U.S. Highway 45, Libertyville, Illinois 60048.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.*
- 4. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) in that Motorola have done business in this District, have committed acts of infringement in this District, and continue to commit acts of infringement in this District, entitling St. Lawrence to relief.

#### **SUMMARY**

5. VoiceAge Corporation ("VoiceAge") has been a pioneer in speech and audio compression technologies since its creation in 1999. VoiceAge is widely recognized as the world's leader in developing cutting-edge technologies for wideband, low bit rate speech and audio compression.<sup>1</sup> For example, VoiceAge provided the core technologies for at least nine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> VoiceAge was also a leader in narrow-band codecs and innovation.

international speech and audio standards-based codecs used in both wireless and wireline markets and applications. VoiceAge's patented technologies have won every international audio compression standard to which they have been submitted during the last thirteen years, including to the Third Generation Partnership Project ("3GPP"), 3GPP2, the International Telecommunications Union ("ITU"), the European Telecommunications Standards Institute ("ETSI"), and the Motion Picture Experts Group ("MPEG") of the International Organization for Standardization ("ISO").

- 6. One of the international standards based on the patented technologies of VoiceAge is the Adaptive Multi-Rate-Wideband ("AMR-WB") standard for wideband speech. AMR-WB is a wideband speech coding standard which, among other features, provides significantly improved speech quality at a wider speech bandwidth when compared to narrowband speech coding. AMR-WB is codified as an international standard, including as G.722.2, which was promulgated as a standard speech codec by the ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector ("ITU-T") as the "Wideband coding of speech at around 16 kbit/s using Adaptive Multi-Rate Wideband (AMR-WB)." G.722.2 AMR-WB is the same codec as the 3GPP AMR-WB speech codec, also known as 3GPP TS 26.190.<sup>2</sup>
- 7. Several speech codecs competed to serve as the foundation for AMR-WB before the standard was officially adopted. VoiceAge's competitors included candidate codecs developed by such industry heavyweights as Ericsson, Motorola, Texas Instruments, and a consortium comprised of France Telecom, Deutsche Telecom, Nortel Networks, and Siemens.<sup>3</sup> The selection process was rigorous and extensive, involving numerous experiments covering all applications

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There have been numerous versions or releases of 3GPP TS 26.190 to date, but each of these practices VoiceAge's patented technologies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> VoiceAge worked with Nokia during the standard-selection process.

defined for AMR-WB. During the testing, the VoiceAge codec was the only codec to have no failures in any test condition. The VoiceAge codec was the superior codec with respect to speech quality, technical considerations, and test results, and was the codec chosen to be the official AMR-WB standard. VoiceAge had several patent families, each of which issued prior to the adoption of the standard, and which are essential to the AMR-WB standard.<sup>4</sup> Through the processes regularly managed by the W-CDMA patent pool, each patent was also independently evaluated by the International Patent Evaluation Consortium (IPEC) and determined to be essential to the standard. This evaluation by IPEC was conducted by an Evaluation Panel comprising a lead Evaluator and two Assistant Evaluators (all three are patent attorneys). The IPEC output documentation consisted of a detailed report of the patent essentiality determination including claim charts and an IPEC certificate of essentiality.

- 8. The AMR-WB standardized codec serves a variety of important, growing markets and applications including, but not limited to, high-definition voice services ("HD Voice") in wireless telephony, content for media audio, and mobile voice over internet protocol ("VoIP"). Indeed, in the mobile phone market, HD Voice is the commercial name for the AMR-WB codec. HD Voice is a ground-breaking development in mobile phone technology, as it overcomes the limitations of the 300-3400 Hz voiceband traditionally used in mobile telephony; AMR-WB extends audio bandwidth to 50-7000 Hz, materially improving intelligibility over the narrow-band codec prevalent in mobile telephony.
- 9. There are numerous benefits to the users of HD Voice. These include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - Sound quality is greatly improved;
  - It is easier to recognize voices and comprehend accented speech;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> VoiceAge also had patents essential to AMR-WB standard issued in numerous international jurisdictions.

- It is easier to distinguish confusing or similar sounds, such as between 's' and 'f';
- It is easier to hear faint voices and to understand speakers in environments in which multiple speakers are speaking at the same time;
- Listening is easier and more life-like, resulting in less "listener fatigue" and reducing miscommunications and misunderstandings;
- It is easier to understand speakers who use a speakerphone or who are in the presence of background noise; and
- It is easier to distinguish and differentiate between multiple voices on a single call.
- 10. In part, due to benefits like these, deployment of HD Voice is accelerating rapidly, both in the United States and globally. In the United States, T-Mobile, Sprint, Verizon Wireless and AT&T have launched and support HD Voice through the AMR-WB codec, with other carriers announcing plans to do the same later this year. As of March of 2014, at least 329 different mobile phones support HD Voice. Motorola actively manufactures, imports, markets and sells HD Voice phones, and several different Motorola mobile phones are HD Voice phones and therefore support the AMR-WB codec; without the AMR-WB codec developed by VoiceAge, Motorola would be unable to manufacture, import, market or sell a single HD Voice phone.
- 11. As HD Voice began to proliferate across the United States and internationally, VoiceAge partnered under an agreement with St. Lawrence to protect and license its patented inventions and intellectual property. Motorola was aware of the patents asserted here prior to the filing of this Complaint, including through the following:
  - public information about these patents, the AMR-WB standard, and licenses of these
    patents;
  - pending lawsuits in Germany against Deutsche Telekom and Vodafone filed by an affiliate
     of St. Lawrence (St. Lawrence Communications GmbH); and
  - correspondence between the affiliates described above which, among other things, directed LG's affiliate to a St. Lawrence website that identifies the patents asserted in this

Complaint by number and further claims these patents are essential to the AMR-WB standard.

Motorola is not licensed to the patents asserted in this Complaint, yet Motorola knowingly, actively, and lucratively practices and induces others to practice the patents.

#### COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,795,805

- 12. On September 21, 2004, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,795,805 ("the '805 Patent"), entitled "Periodicity Enhancement in Decoding Wideband Signals." St. Lawrence holds all rights, title, and interest in and to the '805 Patent.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Motorola has infringed directly and continues to infringe directly the '805 Patent. The infringing acts include, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products practicing the AMR-WB Standard ("Motorola HD Voice phones"). Motorola's infringing products include at least the Motorola HD Voice phones offered for sale in the United States including, but not limited to: Motorola Nexus 6, Motorola Quench (also known as Motorola CLIQ XT), Motorola Droid RAZR, Motorola RAZRi, Motorola Moto G, Motorola Moto E, Motorola Droid MAXX, Motorola Droid Ultra, Motorola Droid Mini, Motorola Droid Turbo, and Motorola X. These phones are among the larger range of Motorola HD Voice phones, each of which practices the '805 patent. As alleged above, Motorola had actual notice of the patents asserted here and of its infringement of these patents. In addition to its direct infringement, Motorola has been and is now indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the method claims of the '805 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere within the United States by, among other things, making, using, licensing, selling, offering for sale, or importing infringing

Motorola HD Voice mobile phones, covered by one or more method claims of the '805 patent, all to the injury of St. Lawrence. In the case of such infringement, the users of the Motorola HD Voice mobile phones are the direct infringers of the '805 patent. Motorola advertises and promotes its Motorola HD Voice phones on its website.<sup>5</sup> Motorola provides, makes, uses, licenses, sells, and offers its Motorola HD Voice phones for sale with the specific intent that its customers use those phones in an infringing manner.<sup>6</sup> Motorola sells or offers to sell its HD Voice phones for use in practicing St. Lawrence's patented processes, and those HD voice phones are material to practicing St. Lawrence's invention. The HD voice features have no substantial non-infringing uses, and are known by Motorola to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of St. Lawrence's patents by complying with the AMR-WB standard. Motorola's acts of infringement have been willful, deliberate, and in reckless disregard of St. Lawrence's patent rights.

14. The acts of infringement by Motorola have caused damage to St. Lawrence, and St. Lawrence is entitled to recover from Motorola the damages sustained by St. Lawrence as a result of Motorola' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. The infringement of St.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See, e.g., <u>http://www.motorola.com/mcne/TechDocs/Wideband Adaptive.pdf</u> (advertising the benefits of

<sup>&</sup>quot;Motorola's WB-AMR solution")) and <a href="http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html">http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html</a> (listing the Nexus 6 as an AMR-WB enabled phone). See also, <a href="http://www.verizonwireless.com/landingpages/advanced-calling-devices/">http://www.verizonwireless.com/landingpages/advanced-calling-devices/</a> (referencing Motorola Droid Turbo, Motorola Droid Mini and Motorola Droid Maxx as HD Voice enabled).

<sup>6</sup> See, e.g., <a href="https://motorola-global-">https://motorola-global-</a>

portal.custhelp.com/app/answers/prod\_answer\_detail/a\_id/102879/p/30,6720,9277" instructing customers on how to install a software update that "Enables Verizon's Advanced Calling 1.0 service on 4G LTE networks with XLTE. This includes High Definition (HD) Voice to improve call quality, and video-calling between two Advanced Calling subscribers.")

Lawrence's exclusive rights under the '805 Patent by Motorola has damaged and will continue to damage St. Lawrence.

15. Upon information and belief, Motorola actually knew of, or were willfully blind to, the existence of the '805 Patent, yet Motorola continues to infringe said patent. For example, during the prosecution of application WO2008076534A2 the '805 was cited against Motorola Inc. by the examiner. On information and belief, as a sophisticated user of the patent system and due to its analysis of the '805 patent, Motorola knew or was willfully blind to the fact that its making, using, licensing, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing its HD Voice phones constituted infringement, and that its instructions to customers for use of the infringing HD phones would contribute to and induce their infringement. For that reason and the reasons described above, the infringement of the '805 Patent by Motorola is willful and deliberate, and with full knowledge of the patent, entitling St. Lawrence to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

#### COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,807,524

- 16. On October 19, 2004, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,807,524 ("the '524 Patent"), entitled "Perceptual Weighting Device and Method for Efficient Coding of Wideband Signals." St. Lawrence holds all rights, title, and interest in and to the '524 Patent.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Motorola has infringed directly and continues to infringe directly the '524 Patent. The infringing acts include, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products practicing the AMR-WB Standard ("Motorola HD Voice phones"). Motorola's infringing products include at least the Motorola HD Voice phones offered for sale in the United States including, but not limited to:

Motorola Nexus 6, Motorola Quench (also known as Motorola CLIQ XT), Motorola Droid RAZR, Motorola RAZRi, Motorola Moto G, Motorola Moto E, Motorola Droid MAXX, Motorola Droid Ultra, Motorola Droid Mini, Motorola Droid Turbo, and Motorola X. These phones are among the larger range of Motorola HD Voice phones, each of which practices the '524 patent. As alleged above, Motorola had actual notice of the patents asserted here and of its infringement of these patents. In addition to its direct infringement, Motorola has been and is now indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the method claims of the '524 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere within the United States by, among other things, making, using, licensing, selling, offering for sale, or importing infringing Motorola HD Voice mobile phones, covered by one or more method claims of the '524 patent, all to the injury of St. Lawrence. In the case of such infringement, the users of the Motorola HD Voice mobile phones are the direct infringers of the '805 patent. Motorola advertises and promotes its Motorola HD Voice phones on its website. Motorola provides, makes, uses, licenses, sells, and offers its Motorola HD Voice phones for sale with the specific intent that its customers use those phones in an infringing manner.8 Motorola sells or offers to sell its HD Voice phones for use in practicing St. Lawrence's patented processes, and those HD voice phones

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>See, *e.g.*, <a href="http://www.motorola.com/mcne/TechDocs/Wideband Adaptive.pdf">http://www.motorola.com/mcne/TechDocs/Wideband Adaptive.pdf</a> (advertising the benefits of "Motorola's WB-AMR solution")) and <a href="http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html">http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html</a> (listing the Nexus 6 as an AMR-WB enabled phone). *See also*, <a href="http://www.verizonwireless.com/landingpages/advanced-calling-devices/">http://www.verizonwireless.com/landingpages/advanced-calling-devices/</a> (referencing Motorola Droid Turbo, Motorola Droid Mini and Motorola Droid Maxx as HD Voice enabled).

<a href="https://motorola-global-">8 See, e.g., https://motorola-global-</a>

portal.custhelp.com/app/answers/prod\_answer\_detail/a\_id/102879/p/30,6720,9277" instructing customers on how to install a software update that "Enables Verizon's Advanced Calling 1.0 service on 4G LTE networks with XLTE. This includes High Definition (HD) Voice to improve call quality, and video-calling between two Advanced Calling subscribers.")

are material to practicing St. Lawrence's invention. The HD voice features have no substantial non-infringing uses, and are known by Motorola to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of St. Lawrence's patents by complying with the AMR-WB standard. Motorola's acts of infringement have been willful, deliberate, and in reckless disregard of St. Lawrence's patent rights.

- 18. The acts of infringement by Motorola have caused damage to St. Lawrence, and St. Lawrence is entitled to recover from Motorola the damages sustained by St. Lawrence as a result of Motorola' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. The infringement of St. Lawrence's exclusive rights under the '524 Patent by Motorola has damaged and will continue to damage St. Lawrence.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Motorola actually knew of, or were willfully blind to, the existence of the '524 Patent, yet Motorola continue to infringe said patent. For example, during the prosecution of application US 13/439,121 the '524 was cited against Motorola Mobility Inc. by the examiner. During the prosecution of application US 13/667,001 the '524 was cited against Motorola Mobility LLC. On information and belief, as a sophisticated user of the patent system and due to its analysis of the '524 patent, Motorola knew or was willfully blind to the fact that its making, using, licensing, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing its HD Voice phones constituted infringement, and that its instructions to customers for use of the infringing HD Voice phones would contribute to and induce their infringement. For these reasons and the reasons explained above, the infringement of the '524 Patent by Motorola is willful and deliberate, and with full knowledge of the patent, entitling St. Lawrence to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

#### COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,151,802

- 20. On December 19, 2006, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 7,151,802 ("the '802 Patent"), entitled "High Frequency Content Recovering Method and Device for Over-Sampled Synthesized Wideband Signal." St. Lawrence holds all rights, title, and interest in and to the '802 Patent.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Motorola has infringed directly and continues to infringe directly the '802 Patent. The infringing acts include, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products practicing the AMR-WB Standard ("Motorola HD Voice phones"). Motorola's infringing products include at least the Motorola HD Voice phones offered for sale in the United States including, but not limited to: Motorola Nexus 6, Motorola Quench (also known as Motorola CLIQ XT), Motorola Droid RAZR, Motorola RAZRi, Motorola Moto G, Motorola Moto E, Motorola Droid MAXX, Motorola Droid Ultra, Motorola Droid Mini, Motorola Droid Turbo, and Motorola X. These phones are among the larger range of Motorola HD Voice phones, each of which practices the '802 patent. As alleged above, Motorola had actual notice of the patents asserted here and of its infringement of these patents. In addition to its direct infringement, Motorola has been and is now indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the method claims of the '802 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere within the United States by, among other things, making, using, licensing, selling, offering for sale, or importing infringing Motorola HD Voice mobile phones, covered by one or more method claims of the '805 patent, all to the injury of St. Lawrence. In the case of such infringement, the users of the Motorola HD Voice mobile phones are the direct infringers of the '802 patent. Motorola advertises and promotes its Motorola HD Voice phones on its website. Motorola provides, makes, uses, licenses, sells,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>See, e.g., http://www.motorola.com/mcne/TechDocs/Wideband\_Adaptive.pdf (advertising the benefits of

<sup>&</sup>quot;Motorola's WB-AMR solution")) and <a href="http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html">http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html</a> (listing the Nexus 6

and offers its Motorola HD Voice phones for sale with the specific intent that its customers use those phones in an infringing manner. <sup>10</sup> Motorola sells or offers to sell its HD Voice phones for use in practicing St. Lawrence's patented processes, and those HD voice phones are material to practicing St. Lawrence's invention. The HD voice features have no substantial non-infringing uses, and are known by Motorola to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of St. Lawrence's patents by complying with the AMR-WB standard. Motorola's acts of infringement have been willful, deliberate, and in reckless disregard of St. Lawrence's patent rights.

- 22. The acts of infringement by Motorola have caused damage to St. Lawrence, and St. Lawrence is entitled to recover from Motorola the damages sustained by St. Lawrence as a result of Motorola' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. The infringement of St. Lawrence's exclusive rights under the '802 Patent by Motorola has damaged and will continue to damage St. Lawrence.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Motorola actually knew of, or were willfully blind to, the existence of the '802 Patent, yet Motorola continue to infringe said patent. The infringement of the '802 Patent by Motorola is willful and deliberate, and with full knowledge of the patent,

as an AMR-WB enabled phone). *See also*, http://www.verizonwireless.com/landingpages/advanced-calling-devices/ (referencing Motorola Droid Turbo, Motorola Droid Mini and Motorola Droid Maxx as HD Voice enabled).

10 See, *e.g.*, https://motorola-global-

portal.custhelp.com/app/answers/prod\_answer\_detail/a\_id/102879/p/30,6720,9277" instructing customers on how to install a software update that "Enables Verizon's Advanced Calling 1.0 service on 4G LTE networks with XLTE. This includes High Definition (HD) Voice to improve call quality, and video-calling between two Advanced Calling subscribers.")

entitling St. Lawrence to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,260,521

- 24. On August 21, 2007, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 7,260,521 ("the '521 Patent"), entitled "Method and Device for Adaptive Bandwidth Pitch Search in Coding Wideband Signals." St. Lawrence holds all rights, title, and interest in and to the '521 Patent.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Motorola has infringed directly and continues to infringe directly the '521 Patent. The infringing acts include, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products practicing the AMR-WB Standard ("Motorola HD Voice phones"). Motorola's infringing products include at least the Motorola HD Voice phones offered for sale in the United States including, but not limited to: Motorola Nexus 6, Motorola Quench (also known as Motorola CLIQ XT), Motorola Droid RAZR, Motorola RAZRi, Motorola Moto G, Motorola Moto E, Motorola Droid MAXX, Motorola Droid Ultra, Motorola Droid Mini, Motorola Droid Turbo, and Motorola X. These phones are among the larger range of Motorola HD Voice phones, each of which practices the '521 patent. As alleged above, Motorola had actual notice of the patents asserted here and of its infringement of these patents. In addition to its direct infringement, Motorola has been and is now indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the method claims of the '805 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere within the United States by, among other things, making, using, licensing, selling, offering for sale, or importing infringing Motorola HD Voice mobile phones, covered by one or more method claims

of the '805 patent, all to the injury of St. Lawrence. In the case of such infringement, the users of the Motorola HD Voice mobile phones are the direct infringers of the '521 patent. Motorola advertises and promotes its Motorola HD Voice phones on its website. Motorola provides, makes, uses, licenses, sells, and offers its Motorola HD Voice phones for sale with the specific intent that its customers use those phones in an infringing manner. Motorola sells or offers to sell its HD Voice phones for use in practicing St. Lawrence's patented processes, and those HD voice phones are material to practicing St. Lawrence's invention. The HD voice features have no substantial non-infringing uses, and are known by Motorola to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of St. Lawrence's patents by complying with the AMR-WB standard. Motorola's acts of infringement have been willful, deliberate, and in reckless disregard of St. Lawrence's patent rights.

26. The acts of infringement by Motorola have caused damage to St. Lawrence, and St. Lawrence is entitled to recover from Motorola the damages sustained by St. Lawrence as a result of Motorola' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. The infringement of St. Lawrence's exclusive rights under the '521 Patent by Motorola has damaged and will continue to damage St. Lawrence.

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>See, *e.g.*, <a href="http://www.motorola.com/mcne/TechDocs/Wideband Adaptive.pdf">http://www.motorola.com/mcne/TechDocs/Wideband Adaptive.pdf</a> (advertising the benefits of "Motorola's WB-AMR solution")) and <a href="http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html">http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html</a> (listing the Nexus 6 as an AMR-WB enabled phone). *See also*, <a href="http://www.verizonwireless.com/landingpages/advanced-calling-devices/">http://www.verizonwireless.com/landingpages/advanced-calling-devices/</a> (referencing Motorola Droid Turbo, Motorola Droid Mini and Motorola Droid Maxx as HD Voice enabled).

<a href="https://motorola-global-">12 See, e.g., a., https://motorola-global-</a>

portal.custhelp.com/app/answers/prod\_answer\_detail/a\_id/102879/p/30,6720,9277" instructing customers on how to install a software update that "Enables Verizon's Advanced Calling 1.0 service on 4G LTE networks with XLTE. This includes High Definition (HD) Voice to improve call quality, and video-calling between two Advanced Calling subscribers.")

27. Upon information and belief, Motorola actually knew of, or were willfully blind to, the existence of the '521 Patent, yet Motorola continue to infringe said patent. The infringement of the '521 Patent by Motorola is willful and deliberate, and with full knowledge of the patent, entitling St. Lawrence to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

# **COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,191,123**

- 28. On March 13, 2007, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 7,191,123 ("the '123 Patent"), entitled "Gain-Smoothing in Wideband Speech and Audio Signal Decoder." St. Lawrence holds all rights, title, and interest in and to the '123 Patent.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Motorola has infringed directly and continues to infringe directly the '123 Patent. The infringing acts include, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products practicing the AMR-WB Standard ("Motorola HD Voice phones"). Motorola's infringing products include at least the Motorola HD Voice phones offered for sale in the United States including, but not limited to: Motorola Nexus 6, Motorola Quench (also known as Motorola CLIQ XT), Motorola Droid RAZR, Motorola RAZRi, Motorola Moto G, Motorola Moto E, Motorola Droid MAXX, Motorola Droid Ultra, Motorola Droid Mini, Motorola Droid Turbo, and Motorola X. These phones are among the larger range of Motorola HD Voice phones, each of which practices the '123 patent. As alleged above, Motorola had actual notice of the patents asserted here and of its infringement of these patents. In addition to its direct infringement, Motorola has been and is now indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the method claims of the '123 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere within the United States by, among other things, making, using, licensing, selling, offering for sale, or

importing infringing Motorola HD Voice mobile phones, covered by one or more method claims of the '123 patent, all to the injury of St. Lawrence. In the case of such infringement, the users of the Motorola HD Voice mobile phones are the direct infringers of the '123 patent. Motorola advertises and promotes its Motorola HD Voice phones on its website. Motorola provides, makes, uses, licenses, sells, and offers its Motorola HD Voice phones for sale with the specific intent that its customers use those phones in an infringing manner. Motorola sells or offers to sell its HD Voice phones for use in practicing St. Lawrence's patented processes, and those HD voice phones are material to practicing St. Lawrence's invention. The HD voice features have no substantial non-infringing uses, and are known by Motorola to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of St. Lawrence's patents by complying with the AMR-WB standard. Motorola's acts of infringement have been willful, deliberate, and in reckless disregard of St. Lawrence's patent rights.

30. The acts of infringement by Motorola have caused damage to St. Lawrence, and St. Lawrence is entitled to recover from Motorola the damages sustained by St. Lawrence as a result of Motorola' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. The infringement of St.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>See, e.g., <a href="http://www.motorola.com/mcne/TechDocs/Wideband">http://www.motorola.com/mcne/TechDocs/Wideband</a> Adaptive.pdf (advertising the benefits of

<sup>&</sup>quot;Motorola's WB-AMR solution")) and <a href="http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html">http://www.att.com/cellphones/motorola/nexus-6.html</a> (listing the Nexus 6 as an AMR-WB enabled phone). See also, <a href="http://www.verizonwireless.com/landingpages/advanced-calling-devices/(referencing Motorola Droid Turbo, Motorola Droid Mini and Motorola Droid Maxx as HD Voice enabled).">https://motorola-global-</a>

portal.custhelp.com/app/answers/prod\_answer\_detail/a\_id/102879/p/30,6720,9277" instructing customers on how to install a software update that "Enables Verizon's Advanced Calling 1.0 service on 4G LTE networks with XLTE. This includes High Definition (HD) Voice to improve call quality, and video-calling between two Advanced Calling subscribers.")

Lawrence's exclusive rights under the '123 Patent by Motorola has damaged and will continue to damage St. Lawrence.

31. Upon information and belief, Motorola actually knew of, or were willfully blind to, the existence of the '123 Patent, yet Motorola continue to infringe said patent. The infringement of the '123 Patent by Motorola is willful and deliberate, and with full knowledge of the patent, entitling St. Lawrence to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

# **JURY DEMAND**

32. St. Lawrence hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, St. Lawrence requests entry of judgment in its favor and against Defendant as follows:

- a. A declaration that Defendant has infringed and are infringing the '805, '524, '802,'521, and '123 Patents;
- b. An award of damages to St. Lawrence arising out of Defendant's infringement of the '805, '524, '802,'521, and '123 Patents, including enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- c. An award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted by law;
- d. An award to St. Lawrence of its costs; and
- e. such other and further relief, whether legal, equitable, or otherwise, to which St.

  Lawrence may be entitled or which this Court may order.

Dated: March 10, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

# /s/ Demetrios Anaipakos

Demetrios Anaipakos
Texas Bar No. 00793258
danaipakos@azalaw.com
Amir Alavi
Texas Bar No. 00793239
aalavi@azalaw.com
Alisa A. Lipski
Texas Bar No. 24041345
alipski@azalaw.com
AHMAD, ZAVITSANOS, ANAIPAKOS,
ALAVI & MENSING P.C.
1221 McKinney Street, Suite 3460
Houston, TX 77010
Telephone: 713, 655, 1101

Telephone: 713-655-1101 Facsimile: 713-655-0062

#### ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF